WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes	No	Notes
CR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84	i)		
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	·
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	200° 244.
R F	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	Χ		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	X		
6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X	
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	andunkinikkulikkili Sise Si	X	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	Hermony department of the second of the seco
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		
0.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question	,	X	
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	